



An analysis on conclusions and suggested responses to emerging problems in contractual concepts of contract law

Dr. D B Ravikumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Saraswathi Law College, Chitradurga, Karnataka, India

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.66856/ijlpsr.2026.8.3.8136>

Abstract

Rapid technological advancements, the forces of globalization, and the prevalence of standard-form contracts have severely strained traditional contract law principles in significant ways. The dynamic nature of technology demands that legal frameworks adapt quickly to keep pace with new business models and practices that often transcend borders. As such, legal professionals are faced with increasingly complex situations where customary approaches may not apply effectively. To address these emerging challenges, modern jurisprudence is leaning more towards contextual interpretation of contracts. This approach allows for a deeper understanding of the intentions behind agreements and the circumstances surrounding their formation. Additionally, the development of harmonized international frameworks is becoming crucial in ensuring that contracts maintain consistency across different jurisdictions, helping to mitigate potential disputes. Furthermore, targeted consumer protection laws are being put into place to safeguard the interests of individuals who might be at a disadvantage when entering into contracts. By focusing on fairness and clarity, these efforts aim to restore equity and enhance the enforceability of agreements in contemporary transactions. As the landscape continues to evolve, the adaptation of legal principles becomes vital for maintaining a just and functional legal system that serves all parties involved effectively. This paper represents the conclusions and suggested responses to emerging problems in contractual concepts of contract Law.

Keywords: Contract law, contractual freedom, enforcement, legislation, policy issues, remedies, contractual concepts

Introduction

A contract is a legally binding agreement between two or more parties that establishes, defines, and governs mutual rights and obligations. Rooted in the principles of mutual consent and the exchange of value, contracts have historically served as rigid legal frameworks designed to secure debts, enforce promises, and resolve disputes. The traditional concept of a contract has transformed from a formal, paper-based debt instrument into a more flexible, technology-driven framework that facilitates modern business agreements. This shift reflects how rapidly globalization, digital innovation, and changing legal standards have reshaped contractual relationships.

In the past, contracts were typically long documents filled with complex legal jargon, often requiring the involvement of legal professionals to draft, interpret, and enforce them. Parties entered into agreements with a clear understanding of their rights and responsibilities, but the rigid nature of these documents often made it difficult to adapt to changing circumstances. Today, however, many contracts are created and managed digitally, allowing for real-time adjustments and modifications. This flexibility is essential in an increasingly dynamic business environment, where the ability to respond quickly to change can be a significant advantage.

Moreover, technology has introduced new types of contracts, such as smart contracts. These digital contracts automatically execute actions when predefined conditions are met, thereby minimizing the need for intermediaries and reducing the potential for disputes. Smart contracts are widely used in sectors like finance and supply chain management, where efficiency and transparency are crucial. The implications of this technology are far-reaching, allowing for more secure transactions and streamlined processes.

As globalization continues to break down barriers between markets, contracts must also evolve to accommodate varying legal systems and business practices. Modern contracts often include clauses that address international considerations, such as changes in law, currency fluctuations, and jurisdictional issues. This adaptability is increasingly vital as businesses operate across borders and navigate the complexities that come with international agreements.

Furthermore, the growing emphasis on corporate responsibility and ethics has begun to impact the way contracts are structured. Many businesses are now incorporating sustainability and ethical standards into their contractual agreements, reflecting a broader commitment to responsible practices. This trend signifies a shift in priorities, with companies recognizing that their obligations extend beyond mere profit-making to include social and environmental considerations.

Overall, the evolution of contracts mirrors the transformations in global commerce, highlighting the importance of flexible legal frameworks that can accommodate both traditional and innovative practices. The ongoing changes in technology and the legal landscape will continue to influence how agreements are formed and executed, impacting various industries in significant ways.

Concept of contract in Indian Contract Act, 1872

One of the most important laws governing agreements and contracts in India is the Indian Contract Act, 1872. It creates the legal foundation for contract creation, implementation, and enforcement, guaranteeing lucidity, openness, and equity in business dealings. The Act is a crucial piece of legislation for companies, professionals, and individuals entering into legal agreements because it offers guidelines

on the rights, obligations, and duties of parties entering into a contract. Because they specify the conditions of business transactions, employment relationships, service agreements, and other commercial dealings, contracts are essential to economic activity. By defining the necessary components for an agreement to be enforceable, the Indian Contract Act guarantees legal certainty. These consist of free consent, competency of the parties, offer and acceptance, and legal consideration.

Objectives

1. This study was chosen because it recognizes the need for current Indian contract law to evolve and address new practice aspects and challenges emerging in modern contracts.
2. Its objective is to examine various forms of business contract law and to evaluate the legal principles that relate to these contract types.
3. The research will look into the impact of economic liberalization on modern business contracts and how it has shaped contractual jurisprudence in India.
4. Throughout the study, a comparison will be drawn between Indian Contract Law and both US and UK Contract Laws.
5. Different definitions of a contract will be reviewed to identify the clearest understanding of contract law.
6. The study will evaluate the legal framework from 1872 to today, adopting a comparative perspective.

Methodology

The approach used is doctrinal. The goal of doctrinal research is to investigate the evolving aspects of contract law within the Indian framework. This type of research involves analyzing existing laws and cases to address specific legal questions, utilizing logical reasoning as a key aspect of traditional legal studies. Original legal resources, such as Acts of Parliament and laws enacted by state legislatures, play a crucial role in this process. Additionally, the analysis of various statutes relevant to contractual relationships is conducted to determine the current legal landscape. Throughout this research, considerable emphasis is placed on accessing law libraries and online resources, where legal scholars seek out pertinent court rulings, encyclopedias, and legal journals. Researchers may also gather essential data related to this study.

Concept of Contract: Changes in present scenario

Modern contract law has evolved into a distinct area of legal practice. It serves as the underpinning regulation for various contract types, and it is vital to recognize that business agreements have expanded beyond the traditional scope outlined by the centuries-old Contract Act. When contracts involve parties from different nations, the legal systems of individual countries often prove insufficient for addressing the complexities of the situation. In such instances, at least two legal frameworks apply to the contract, triggering the relevance of Private International Law. To ensure that a specific legal system governs international contracts, it is advisable to select a particular law for the agreement, referred to as the "Proper Law of the Contract." Courts have determined that the Proper Law is the legal framework that the parties have explicitly or implicitly opted for or that is assigned to them based on its most genuine connection. Contemporary contract law primarily deals with

multinational or transnational jurisdictions, influenced by International Conventions like the Convention on International Sale of Goods (CISG) and International Arbitration clauses in India. Issues related to international taxation and the jurisdiction of multinational contracts also play a role in modern contractual frameworks. These intricate matters often arise in the context of e-contracts, which represent a global entity throughout production, distribution, and consumer interactions. Additionally, one must consider the recent changes in contracts that have reshaped the meanings and aspects of conventional contractual agreements. For instance, minors can now engage in contracts within the entertainment and sports industries. Courts no longer adhere strictly to the idea that contracts with minors are void from the beginning. Instead, the principle of freedom of contract influences judicial rulings.

In these cases, the courts have evaluated the positions judges have taken regarding different types of contracts. A comparison of decisions from India, the US, and the UK has been outlined. The change in section 28 means that any clauses limiting the usual limitation period are now void to that extent. It also forbids clauses that aim to eliminate a party's rights or release any party from liability concerning a contract after a certain time, thereby preventing any party from enforcing their rights.

The 97th Report of the Law Commission of India was brought to life by the amendment. If we look into the background of this amendment, it's noteworthy that the Law Commission of India previously discussed this section in its 13th Report. It noted that the issues related to these clauses were not about interpreting the section itself, but rather about how the contract was constructed. They recognized a principle stating that while an agreement that gives up rights and remedies is valid, one that only relinquishes remedies falls under the concerns of section 28. They ultimately decided that no updates were needed for the section as it existed at that time. Furthermore, today, many explanations of contract law stick strictly to the rules without addressing the broader social or economic implications of these laws. This has led to a disconnect between the analysis of formal law and the assessment of how well it meets the needs of the larger community it aims to serve.

This issue can be appropriately managed by the Courts, as they rely on the insight of experienced Judges. Examining the history of the law provides a solid grasp of its foundational framework. In cases of Internet disputes, Indian law aligns conceptually with the approach taken in the United States, despite a lack of sufficient case law to firmly establish this. The Civil Procedure Code (CPC) addresses jurisdictional matters and states that a court can take jurisdiction over a case if the cause of action occurs within its domain. While this provision is primarily designed for domestic courts, it can also be interpreted to apply to international matters and private international law. Essentially, despite its international implications, it remains the domestic law of a nation. This jurisdiction provision is quite expansive, allowing the court to handle disputes irrespective of the location of the parties involved or their business operations, provided that part of the cause of action transpired within the local court's authority, similarly to the long-arm jurisdiction rules in the US.

Additionally, Indian procedural law allows for the acknowledgment and implementation of its own decisions

as well as that of foreign rulings. This is essential because a judgment made without proper jurisdiction is inconsequential. Consumer protection laws in many countries and international agreements safeguard individuals in contracts that are seen as one-sided, preventing the exclusion of local jurisdiction and laws that favor consumers through contract stipulations. For example, Section 28 of the Indian Contract Act and Section 11(2) of the Consumer Protection Act ensure that consumers' rights and legal remedies under Indian law are protected, permitting courts to set aside agreements between consumers and vendors regarding the applicable law and choice of forum. The influence of foreign judgments on Indian courts allows for their execution, with specific exceptions, where courts examine jurisdiction, public policy, and the morality of the judgment, while keeping the case's merits separate. Indian court rulings can be enforced in nations identified by the central government through notifications under that section, or those that have reciprocal agreements with the Government of India concerning the enforcement of their rulings within Indian courts.

Such agreements and mutual relations are crucial, particularly on the internet.

To enforce non-compete clauses, reasonable limitations might be set, such as:

- a. **Distance:** Appropriate limits can prevent employees from working in the same field within a specific, justifiable distance.
- b. **Time limit:** A clause that specifies a reasonable period will fall under acceptable restrictions.
- c. **Trade secrets:** Employers are permitted to impose reasonable limitations on sharing trade secrets.
- d. **Goodwill:** Article 27 of the Indian Contract Act allows for an exception regarding the sharing of goodwill.

Moreover, the judiciary may apply an 'Injunction' to stop a third party from disclosing confidential information or using trade secrets, as well as to enforce compensation contracts. These contracts often involve parties with an international presence, requiring cooperation among countries to enforce valid judgments successfully. However, when a country lacks a reciprocal agreement with India, any judgment that needs enforcement can only be pursued by starting a new legal action in that country's courts, which can be quite challenging. This is because the foreign court may want to re-evaluate the case's details or reconsider the Indian court's jurisdiction before enforcing the decision. This challenge in acknowledging and enforcing judgments globally affects not only Indian courts but also other systems; it mainly arises due to the potential for different jurisdictions to address issues related to the internet and the wide array of laws that could apply to the conflict. A Uniform Code, similar to that in the United States for interstate jurisdictions, addressing jurisdictional issues and choice of law, could be beneficial if created for international jurisdiction in E-Contracts under section 37.

Similar to the CISG (Convention on International Sales of Goods), implementing a framework for the sale of goods would establish legal clarity, effectively addressing many disputes and misunderstandings related to jurisdictional issues.

Conclusion and Suggestions

Contract Law, Globalization, Digital Transformation, Smart Contracts, Legal Principles

Contract law is essential in the realm of commercial law. Engaging in any trade, business, or activity becomes challenging without it. This area of law impacts not just businesspeople but everyone involved. The primary purpose of contract law is to safeguard the rights and remedies arising from contracts. It establishes the rules under which parties may enter into agreements. Anson remarked that a contract encompasses both subjective and objective elements. Per section 10, while consent is subjective, the agreement itself is considered objective. Contracts necessitate both an offer and acceptance, making this a crucial part of their formation. It is important to note that while every contract is an agreement, not every agreement qualifies as a contract; only those that meet section 10 requirements are recognized as contracts. For a contract to be valid, consent must be a fundamental component and must be given freely, untainted by coercion, fraud, or misrepresentation. If consent is compromised, it can be voidable according to sections 19 and 19A. In cases where consent is mistakenly given, sections 20-22 declare such contracts void. Under English law, having the intention to establish a legal relationship is critical. Anson argued that contract law stems from commercial activities, which ultimately give rise to this legal framework. However, agreements resulting in inconvenience or hardship alone do not constitute a contract, as they lack the intention to create a legal relationship and often pertain to friendly interactions or social engagements^[660].

As societies grow economically and socially, contract law becomes increasingly significant for a couple of reasons. First, it is essential for the mechanism that enables the voluntary transfer of property and the fulfillment of services. Contract law forms a vital part of exchange law, governing the ways in which people trade goods and services for money. Having good intentions alone does not guarantee the creation of a valid contract^[661].

A basic understanding of contract law is no longer sufficient to respond effectively to the evolving business landscape, particularly with the emergence of more complex contract forms. It is crucial to acknowledge that the Indian Contract Act lacks the necessary provisions to address these standard form contracts adequately. While some consistent and uniform principles have emerged from case law, their application tends to be subjective. The foundational theories of contract law are currently in flux. Critics of the Classical model argue that it prioritizes procedural justice over substantive justice, emphasizes rigid rules over context and understanding, and fails to capture the realities of everyday contracting. Consumer welfare interventions have helped reduce the harsher injustices stemming from a model that assumes both parties in a contract act with free will. Nonetheless, these interventions are often exceptions rather than forming the basis of a broader discussion. Furthermore, contemporary discussions on contract law largely focus on the rules themselves, offering little insight into their social or economic implications. This has created a gap between the analysis of formal law and the assessment of the broader community's needs it aims to address. Thankfully, this issue is generally entrusted to the Courts, which are guided by knowledgeable judges. The challenges posed by many of these contracts can be summarized as a disparity in

bargaining power between consumers and the corporations that employ them^[662].

E-contracts are typically established via e-mail or websites. Although we frequently encounter these e-contracts in our daily lives, we often lack awareness of the associated legal complexities. Sending contracts through e-mail resembles exchanging letters, with the key difference being the significantly reduced time for sending and receiving. However, there are instances where the e-mail might fail to deliver or be returned. A web-wrap agreement is an online contract that requires the party's consent, often indicated by clicking on a link that states "I agree" or "I confirm." This type of agreement functions like a standard form contract, where the terms and conditions are pre-defined, leaving the party with the option to fully accept or reject them. To assess the legality and enforceability of these e-contracts between the parties involved, one must refer to the fundamental provisions of contract law outlined in The Indian Contract Act 1872, unless the IT Act 2000 explicitly states otherwise^[663].

Worse yet, many industries include these unfair clauses in their contracts, leaving consumers with little to no viable alternatives. The situation deteriorates further, as businesses often retain the right to alter contract terms, which renders the process of comparing options ineffective if agreements are subject to change at any moment. To make matters worse, these contracts frequently enforce forced arbitration, venue, or choice-of-law clauses, removing disputes from the public court system and placing them instead in a private sector controlled by arbitrators. These arbitrators are not obligated to adhere to the rule of law, nor do they face any oversight. Essentially, the process of contract law and the consumer's ability to seek justice in court have been "privatized" into a system whose results are often opaque and beyond challenge. Traditional contract law rests on mutual agreement and acceptance of the deal's terms. As a result, courts typically impose a "duty to read" on consumers, assuming that they have agreed to the terms laid out in the signed contract. Generally, courts are reluctant to find equitable exceptions to enforcing the stipulated terms agreed upon by both the business and the individual consumer, who is viewed as negligent for failing to read the contract. Exceptions, in the form of valid defenses against a contract, such as fraud, duress, or unconscionability, are quite rare^[664].

In standard form contracts, mutual agreement is typically absent, and consumers often lack the time or motivation to review the terms. They may also find it difficult to compare these terms with others. Even if a consumer attempts to make comparisons, it would be ineffective since sellers can frequently modify their terms and shield their provisions from significant legal scrutiny^[665].

This amounts to a distortion in contract law and ridicules the concept of consumer freedom within a free market^[666].

The current approach to outsourcing transactions has revolutionized how many companies view their business strategies. Larger organizations with multiple operations often find themselves challenged by smaller, more dynamic firms that have minimized their expenses and are able to offer lower prices for their products and/or services. The very idea of growth has taken on a new meaning, as companies in developed markets shift their focus to their "core competencies" while opting to outsource other processes to countries like India and China, effectively

streamlining their operations. Against this backdrop, the significance of outsourcing contracts cannot be overstated. This study also concludes that the contract plays a crucial role in ensuring that each transaction benefits all parties involved. Similar to emerging industries, outsourcing contracts have developed distinctive characteristics over the past decade. Interestingly, relatively few outsourcing partnerships have led to legal disputes, resulting in limited judicial commentary on this topic^[667].

and some of which pertain to the outsourcing sector as a whole. To begin with, offshore outsourcing has expanded rapidly over the last decade and beyond, largely because well-managed outsourcing deals can result in significant cost reductions. This aspect is becoming more crucial in an increasingly globalized environment. Additionally, the quality of outsourcing services, especially in locations such as India^[668], plays a role in this growth. In today's world, an escrow agreement is an essential resource. It brings numerous benefits, the primary one being that it promotes timely business transactions^[668].

The main issue in India is the absence of regulations surrounding escrow agreements, particularly regarding escrow agents, which needs to be addressed. Additionally, it is crucial that the language in the clauses, especially those concerning damages resulting from an escrow agent's negligence, is formulated with precision and fairness towards both parties. Escrow agreements also play a role in joint development agreements to make certain that the escrow agent can determine the rights of either party in the event of a default by one side. There are notable differences between Indian contracts and English contracts based on the types of contracts^[669].

For a joint venture to succeed, it is essential for the parties involved to have complete harmony, mutual understanding, and trust. The research highlights various concerns related to the way integrities are approached in different clauses. If the parties engage with these issues while drafting the joint venture agreement, it can serve as a tool that promotes the venture's progress instead of hindering its development^[670]. There has been a transition from tight state regulation to a more free-market approach that permits private entities to engage. The contract farming framework has developed from a simple bipartite model, involving just the sponsors and the producers, to a more intricate quadripartite model. With the added complexity stemming from connections to other stakeholders like banks and marketers/processors, it is essential to clearly define the roles and responsibilities of both the grower/farmer and the sponsor^[671].

In the United States, there exists an overarching obligation of good faith when it comes to fulfilling and enforcing contracts; however, such a duty is absent in India and England. American courts require not only the interpretation of contracts but also emphasize the parties' intention more than is the case in Indian and English law. In the U. S., if a party makes a mistake, they can choose to nullify the contract, a privilege not granted to mistaken parties in England and India. Moreover, the 25 Member States must adhere to various EU regulations concerning contracts, while also addressing the complexities arising from differing national contract laws and discrepancies in the implementation of EU laws across these Member States^[672]. In the United States, there exists a broad obligation of good faith when it comes to fulfilling and enforcing contracts. However, this obligation is not present in either India or

England. Courts in the U. S. place more emphasis on the good intentions of the parties involved compared to those in India and England. For example, in the U. S., a party that makes a mistake can void the contract, whereas in both England and India, a mistaken party does not have that option. Moreover, the 25 Member States are required to follow the EU laws that govern contracts. They must also navigate the legal difficulties that arise from differences in national contract laws and the inconsistencies in how EU laws are enacted across the Member States^[673].

The reliance on e-commerce for conducting daily business across the globe is steadily increasing. This upward trend highlights the need for regulations surrounding electronic commerce and the validation of electronic transactions. To address the surging volume of international transactions facilitated by e-commerce, the United Nations General Assembly endorsed the Model Law on Electronic Commerce from the United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL) in 1996. UNCITRAL's decision to develop a model framework for electronic commerce was prompted by several countries lacking adequate or modern legislation that addresses the communication and storage of information relevant to e-commerce. Existing laws often hinder contemporary communication methods by insisting on written, signed, or original documents. Since contract law is a key aspect of private law, primarily influenced by the corporate sector, the fundamental principle in any legal system guiding contractual relationships is that the parties involved must have the freedom to enter into contracts, uphold the agreed-upon terms, and receive proper remedies in case of a breach. Both American and international law regarding electronic contracts seems to have clarified previous uncertainties related to 'shrink wrap' and 'click-wrap' agreements. Challenges may arise when determining the proper jurisdiction concerning the formation and execution of an electronically created contract. Simply forming an electronic contract does not, in itself, establish 'minimum contacts' with another jurisdiction. A state's exercise of personal jurisdiction might be justified when an electronic contract exists alongside a party's intentional and recurrent dealings with that state. Engaging in negotiations, forming, executing, or violating a contract could potentially put a party under the jurisdiction of the relevant state. The 'long-arm' statutes of various states permit personal jurisdiction over a party. In India, our courts have yet to effectively address the legal issues and challenges that emerge from cyber contracts. Consequently, the doubts held by contracting parties about the applicable legal principles are unfounded. This lack of clarity continues despite the efforts made by the Information Technology Act 2000 and the relevant amendments to the Indian Penal Code of 1860, the Indian Evidence Act of 1872, the Bankers Book Evidence Act of 1891, and the Reserve Bank of India Act of 1934, which aim to tackle these complex legal matters. The Information Technology Act is designed to complement the existing provisions of the Contract Act of 1872^[674].

In English Law, a contract entered into by a minor can be voided at the minor's discretion. This allows a minor the option to ratify such a contract once they reach the age of majority. However, the situation is distinct under the Indian Contract Act. Minors are entirely unable to create a binding contract, which renders the agreement void from the outset. This type of contract cannot be ratified, and even after

reaching adulthood, the individual is not obligated by it. In contrast, English Law recognizes contracts made without consideration as valid. The binding nature of formal contracts under English Law exists independently of consideration, and this principle predates the consideration doctrine. Despite creative efforts to regard consideration as a mere formality, this perspective is contradictory, given that consideration fundamentally involves the exchange of value, irrespective of any specific form. Under the Indian Contract Act, an agreement lacking consideration is void and not legally enforceable^[675].

Section 25 provides an exception, stating that contracts can be valid even in the absence of consideration^[676, 677]

The current research consists of six chapters. The introductory chapter addresses the study's focus, which is the evolving concept of contracts along with recent issues and challenges. It outlines the research methodology and provides a review of relevant literature, encompassing books, articles, and significant judgments from both Indian and English courts. This research aims to emphasize the legal intricacies faced when negotiating contract concepts under the Indian Contract Act of 1872 and subsequent modifications of the Indian Contract Act^[678].

The second chapter of this study focuses on the Concept of Contract as outlined in the Indian Contract Act of 1872. Within this section, three key issues are addressed: (1) the capacity to enter into contracts, (2) available remedies, and (3) standard form contracts. The third chapter discusses the Concept of Contract in the context of current developments. This chapter aims to emphasize changes in contract ideas, the emergence of e-contracts, their various types, the Indian perspective on e-contracts, and topics such as escrow agreements, outsourcing contracts, farming, and joint ventures. A primary concern in this chapter revolves around e-contracts, particularly issues related to their performance and jurisdiction. The fourth chapter presents a comparative analysis of contract law in India, the United States, and the United Kingdom. It reveals that the Indian Contract Act has drawn significantly from the contract laws of other nations but predominantly relies on English law. In instances where Indian courts lack relevant domestic precedents, they refer to English common law when there are no explicit provisions in the Act. This reliance helps explain the similarities between Indian and English contract law principles, even though India has had a codified law for nearly 150 years while the UK continues to depend on its common law. It is important to recognize, however, that when the Indian Contract Act addresses a specific issue, one should not invoke English contract law principles, and it is crucial not to assume that a provision in the Act is simply a familiar borrowing from English law. The principle of English law will now be implemented consistently under both legal systems^[679].

Under English law, contracts are categorized into two types: formal and simple contracts. A formal contract is defined as one that is written, signed, sealed, and delivered to the corresponding party. In contrast, all other contracts fall under the category of simple contracts. While formal contracts do not necessitate consideration, simple contracts must include it. However, this distinction is not recognized in India. All contracts, except those outlined in section 25 and 185, require consideration^[680].

The foundation of the Indian law of contract lies in common law contract principles, which are compiled in the Indian Contract Act^[681].

The concept of good faith differs between the United States and the United Kingdom. In the interpretation of contracts by courts in the U. S., there's a greater emphasis on the parties' intentions compared to the approaches in India and England. In the United States, a party that makes a mistake can void the contract, whereas in England and India, this is not an option. Both the EU and U. S. e-commerce laws aim to provide legal certainty by recognizing electronic contracts. The fifth chapter focuses on Judicial Articulation, presenting decisions from 1872 to the present. Finally, offers conclusions and suggestions.

Suggestions

The primary recommendations for addressing the issues identified in the current study are as follows:

1. Sections 11 and 12 of the Indian Contract Act of 1872 clarify that minor's lack the capacity to form a contract. However, these sections do not address what happens if a minor is involved in an agreement and how that agreement should be classified, whether as void or voidable. This issue relies heavily on the ruling in *Mohribibee v. Dhrmodash Ghosh*, where it was determined that contracts with minors are void from the beginning.
2. Another suggestion concerns the variation in the age of majority under similar conditions. According to Section 4 of the Indian Majority Act, individuals who reach 18 years old can enter into a contract. In contrast, if a guardian has been appointed by the court, a minor can only engage in a contract upon reaching the age of 21. Given that such individuals can vote at 18, this discrepancy raises questions. There should be uniformity by introducing a provision to address this matter.
3. Regarding the Indian Contract Act, the remedies available against fraudulent minors are only discretionary, as stated in Section 33(2) a & b of the Specific Relief Act of 1963, rather than being mandatory.
4. Contracts ought to be equitable, fair, and rationale. Terms of a contract must be clearly communicated to consumers before any agreement is made.
 - a) It is vital that disclosure is straightforward and understandable.
 - b) Both public and private sectors should evaluate these disclosures in practice.
 - c) Parties entering into a contract should exercise caution throughout the process.
 - d) All parties should remain informed about the terms of the contract.
 - e) Consumers need to be vigilant regarding the content of contracts to avoid fraud and deceit.
5. To address unfair and misleading practices in standard form contracts between businesses and consumers, regulators need to establish additional rules. Simply providing better disclosure is not enough to resolve the issue, although improved transparency could help in reducing harmful terms and practices.
6. It is essential for both the private and public sectors to educate consumers on recognizing the significance of fair contract terms.
7. The media should highlight businesses that prioritize fairness and reasonableness in their contracts with consumers.

8. Courts ought to rethink the assumption that harmful terms, which are not explicitly accepted but hidden in fine print within standard contracts between businesses and individual consumers, are enforceable.
9. There should be a universal certifying authority for issuing digital signatures.
10. Amendments to the Company Act are necessary.
11. Companies should actively engage in corporate social responsibility.
12. Electronic contracts hold the same enforceability as traditional paper contracts.
However, since legal evidence rules applicable to these e-contracts may not fit, this creates challenges in interpreting and integrating existing legal concepts within the framework of electronic transactions.
13. The Information Technology Act of 2000 does not clarify the method of agreement acceptance in click-wrap contracts, potentially allowing parties to be excused from fulfilling the contract.
14. Achieving mutual agreement through email communication is challenging, indicating a need for the establishment of international guidelines.
15. In the context of shrink-wrap agreements, does simply reading the agreement and then opening the package obligate the buyer to the license terms? While legal cases suggest that a signature isn't required to enforce an agreement, the matter is still unresolved as a significant legal test related to shrink-wrap licenses remains pending.
16. Handling documents and files, along with various other issues, requires attention.
17. It is advisable to examine the regulations regarding how B2C online contract terms are disclosed, as this could lead to a favorable outcome related to consent in the same context.
18. Several terms must be clarified when determining jurisdiction, including the cause of action, LP address, domain names, or the geographical position of the information system.
19. A universal acceptance rule is necessary.

Conclusion

Contemporary contract law is shifting away from the strict traditional principles of "freedom of contract" toward a model based on equity. Current challenges encompass the intricacies of Evolving Issues in Modern Contract Law through Scribd e-contracts and smart contracts, inherent power disparities between businesses and consumers, and the necessity for worldwide legal consistency.

References

1. Stone R, Devenney J. The modern law of contract. Routledge, 2019.
2. Papanna S, Ravvivenkat B. Information Sources and Services in First Grade College Libraries of Chitradurga District: A Survey. Pearl: A Journal of Library and Information Science, 2017;11(1):1-6.
3. Chen-Wishart M, Vogenauer S. "Studies in the contract laws of Asia III: contents of contracts and unfair terms.", 2020.
4. Collins H, Teubner G. Networks as Connected Contracts. Hart Publishing Limited, 2011.
5. Cheshire FM. "Fifoot and Furmston's Law of Contract.", 2017, 257.